<i>r</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/725,920	WOLF, ERICH	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kaj K. Olsen	1753	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Kaj K. Olsen</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Michael Klicpera</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 5 June 2006	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 1, 9, 20 and 21 Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the applicant's representative on 6-2-2006 to suggest an amendment that render all the claims free of the prior art. The applicant's representative contacted the examiner on 6-5-2006 to approve of the changes with a modification that was approved by the examiner. The applicant's representative also generously emailed the examiner with a copy of the proposed amendment to the claims, which the examiner has incorporated into the enclosed examiner's amendment.